


Applicables à différents types de méthodologie en santé publique	<p>MetaQAT : Meta Quality Appraisal Tool Public Health Ontario. https://www.publichealthontario.ca/fr/ServicesAndTools/Pages/Critical-Appraisal-Tool.aspx</p>
	<p>Critical appraisal form for public health research, Ontario Public Health Libraries Association, 2014 (2008). http://www.ophla.ca/pdf/CriticalAppraisalResearchEvidenceAugust2014.pdf, p.11-12.</p>
	<p>EPHPP : Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality assessment tool for quantitative study, Effective Public Health Practice Project.  https://merst.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/quality-assessment-tool_2010.pdf</p>
	<p>US Preventive Services Task Force Procedure Manual (Décembre 2015). Section 4.2 « Internal and External Validity Assessment of Individual Studies ». https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Name/procedure-manual</p>
Applicables à différents types de méthodologie	<p>CCAT : Crowe Critical Appraisal Tool Crowe Critical Appraisal Tool Form (CCAT), v.1.4 (19 novembre 2013). https://conchra.com.au/2015/12/08/crowe-critical-appraisal-tool-v1-4/</p>
	<p>SIRRIYEH Reviewing studies with diverse designs: the development and evaluation of a new tool, Sirriyeh, Reema, et al., Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2011.01662.x</p>
Recherche qualitative	<p>McMaster Critical Review Form L. Letts, S. Wilkins, M. Law, D. Steward, J. Bosch, M. Westmorland, Critical review form – qualitative studies. Version 2.0, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON (2007). http://www.srs-mcmaster.ca/Portals/20/pdf/ebp/qualreview_version2.0.pdf</p>
	<p>CASP : Critical Appraisal Skills Programme Qualitative Research Checklist: 10 questions to help you make sense of qualitative research. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2013). http://www.casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists</p>
	<p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/CASP_Qualitatives_FR2013_V14012015.pdf</p>
	<p>COREQ : Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research Allison Tong, Peter Sainsbury, Jonathan Craig; Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care 2007; 19 (6): 349-357.</p>
	<p>ENTREQ : Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC Medical Research Methodology 2012 no. 12 p. 181.</p>

<p>Revue systématique et méta-analyse</p>	<p>AMSTAR : Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews</p> <p>B.J. Shea, J.M. Grimshaw, G.A. Wells, M. Boers, N. Andersson, C. Hamel, et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews, BMC Med Res Methodol, 7 (2007), p. 10. http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1471-2288-7-10.pdf</p> <p>AMSTAR 2 : https://amstar.ca/docs/AMSTAR-2.pdf </p> <p>Traduit par l'INESSS : Grille d'évaluation de la qualité méthodologique des revues systématiques. http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/Amstar_FR_21012015.pdf</p>
	<p>CASP : Critical Appraisal Skills Programme</p> <p>Systematic Review Checklist. http://www.casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists</p> <p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/CASP_RevueSystematique_FR2013V14012015.pdf</p>
<p>Guide de pratique</p>	<p>AGREE II : Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation</p> <p>M.C. Brouwers, M.E. Kho, G.P. Browman, J.S. Burgers, F. Cluzeau, G. Feder, et al., AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care, CMAJ, 182 (18) (2010), pp. E839-E842. http://www.cmaj.ca/content/182/18/E839.full.pdf+html</p>
<p>Littérature grise</p>	<p>AACODS : Authority – Accuracy – Coverage – Objectivity – Date – Significance</p> <p>Checklist designed to enable evaluation and critical appraisal of grey literature. https://dspace.flinders.edu.au/jspui/bitstream/2328/3326/4/AACODS_Checklist.pdf</p> <p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/AACODS_checklist_VF2016.pdf</p> <p>Public Health Ontario Guide to Appraising Grey Literature</p> <p>https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/ServicesAndTools/CriticalAppraisalTool/PHO_Guide_to_Appraising_Grey_Literature_2015.pdf</p>
<p>Méthodes mixtes</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool for Mixed Methods Studies</p> <p>A.F. Long, M. Godfrey, T. Randall, A. Brettle, M.J. Grant, HCPRDU evaluation tool for mixed methods studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK (2002). http://usir.salford.ac.uk/13070/</p> <p>MMAT : Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool</p> <p>Rousseau, M.C. (2011). Proposal: A mixed methods appraisal tool for systematic mixed studies reviews. McGill University. Montréal, QC.</p>

<p>Étude clinique (<i>cohort study, case control study, non-randomised controlled trial, randomised controlled trial</i>)</p>	<p>CASP : Critical Appraisal Skills Programme Cohort study checklist, CASP, Oxford, 2013. http://www.casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists</p> <p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/CASP_cohorte_FR2013_V14012015.pdf</p> <p>Case control studies, CASP, Oxford, 2010. http://www.casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists</p> <p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/CASP_Cas_temoins_FR2013_V14012015.pdf</p> <p>Randomised Controlled Trial Checklist. http://www.casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists</p> <p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/CASP_ECR_FR2013_V14012015.pdf</p> <hr/> <p>Jadad Scale Jadad Alejandro R, MD, Dphil, Moore R Andrew, DPhil, Carroll Dawn, RGN, Jenkinson Crispin, DPhil, Reynolds D John M, DPhil, Gavaghan DavidJ, DPhil, Henry J, McQuay DM. Assessing the Quality of Reports of Randomized Clinical Trials: Is Blinding Necessary? <i>Controlled Clinical Trials</i>. 1996;17:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(95)00134-4</p> <hr/> <p>TREND : Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Nonrandomized Designs D.C. Des Jarlais, C. Lyles, N. Crepaz, TREND Group, Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: the TREND statement, <i>Am J Public Health</i>, 94 (3) (2004), pp. 361-366. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1448256/pdf/0940361.pdf</p> <hr/> <p>CONSORT : Consolidation of the Standards of Reporting Trials K.F. Schulz, D.G. Altman, D. Moher, CONSORT Group, CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials, <i>PLoS Med</i>, 7 (3) (2010), p. e1000251. http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/fetchObject.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000251&representation=PDF</p>
<p>Évaluation économique</p>	<p>CASP : Critical Appraisal Skills Programme Economic evaluations checklist, CASP, Oxford, 2013. http://www.casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists</p> <p>INESSS : http://www.inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/DocuMetho/CASP_Economie_FR2013_V14012015.pdf</p>